

Simplified Representation of the Statistical Reconstruction

following A. T. FOMENKO *Empirico-Statistical Analysis of Narrative Material and its Applications to Historical Dating*
2 Vol. (Dordrecht/Boston/London 1994)


The decomposition of historiography into an «original» period demonstrates its multiplication: *Fomenko Vol.-p.*

- A = Habsburg Empire**
- A₁ = Conrad I - Conrad IV II-28
- A₂ = II-122 ff.
- A₃ = II-152 ff.
- B = Holy Roman Empire in 10-13th cc**
- B₁ =
- B₂ = Diocletian - Teias II-25
- B₃ = Sulla -- Julia Maesa II-31
- B₄ = Constantine I - Theodoric II-43
- C = Medieval Rome**
- C₁ = II-122 ff.
- C₂ = II-152 ff.
- D = Carolingians**
- D₁ = Constantius II - Justin I II-21
- E = Roman Empire**
- E₁ = Sulla - Caracalla II-43
- E₂ = Romulus Quirinus - Tarquinius t/Proud II-42
- E₃ = 7 Kings of Troy II-67

«Original» sequence of periods A-E, the leading figures and events of which repeat themselves in present historiography in a statistically significant manner (the probability of being dependent on each other is much higher than any accidental correlation), whereas this phenomenon – understood as a proof – is *not* repeated in the historiography since the 17th century.

C & E already contain elements from A & B 1st Almagest editions 1515-1551 ...
Avignon 1305-1378 —
Scaliger 1540-1609 —
Dionysius Petavius = Dionysius Exiguus 1593-1652 —
calendar reform 1582 •



„Authentic“ history only ↓ begins in 10th century



1st shift E₁ D₁ B₁ A₁ 333 years

E₂ C₁ B₃ A₂ 2nd shift 1053 years

E₃ C₂ B₄ A₃ 3rd shift 1778 years **GCR [Gregorian Calendar Reform] 1582**



!! In their accretion fatal errors in statistical & "chronology critical" historiography !!

(1) Due to the LGJ (& of course, to earlier exoterrestrially caused earth & celestial shifts) astronomical retro-calculations of celestial observations **before** the middle of the Trecento ("1"348 XC) are (a) **impossible**, even when they (b) point to a **post-LGJ date** (because their singularity is not provable; example: Denderah horoscopes).

(2) At least one — &, at that, **even most central!** — error points to **inexpertly recorded primary data**: the inherent logic of the Joshua Event — interrupted movement of the sky **accompanied** by immense meteorite falls as well as catastrophes triggered by a visible "deity" (celestial body) — is equalised as a legend originating with the claim of the sun standing still — but **without** supporting logic & conditions — in the *Song of Roland*, leading to **utterly absurd conclusions** for ancient & medieval historiography with a strong tendency to obliterate Jews by breaking up their history.

(3) None of the "A" (or any other) groups include the all important GCR as a statistically significant event!

(4) The sources date from a time of, as it were, **"epicyclical" world views**: The very statistics themselves demonstrate such **cyclic historiography, without** providing any counterevidence.